1. Use of template (layout and ease of use)

- Found the template difficult and confusing
- The template was workable but could have been better
- The template was easy to use, easy to understand and assisted in making the process easier

Comments:
- Found that if I numbered or used bullets when typing in the template this would alter all other PR numbers throughout the document; this made me very frustrated. Template numbers should all be locked so they cannot change no matter what the author types. Also template should not force formatting in that if question is #1 when you respond the template forces your answer to be under an “a)
- The word document was very frustrating. Using google docs would be easier for everyone (including mentors)
- Much better than six years ago.

2. Accessibility of Data
Comments:

- Because I did not have to use the EVC researcher access of and use of data was very easy (2 survey responders said this)
- The formulas needed to calculate all the data questions in the PR template should be included in the data excel sheet; I really had no idea how to calculate all the numbers to answer many of the questions in the PR template.
- We love Hazel.
3). Understanding how to use data within your report

- Sections that required data were confusing as to what data to use and how to analyze the data once located
- Explaining what data to use and how to analyze could have been more clear
- Sections requiring data were clear. You fully understood what was required of you.

Comments:
- There was a section that asked us to fill out the table with data; my program’s data did not fit into this table - needs to be leeway here so we can report the data differently (which is what I did)

4). How helpful were the IEC mentors
Comments:
- All comments were VERY positive
  - mentors were very helpful and patient
  - mentors offered help and reminders
  - provided excellent feedback
  - they were accessible and helpful

5). Usefulness of first email from IEC (emailed to you spring of 2017) which included PR template, data file and deadlines.
Comments:

- First spring email and all emails during the Fall semester were very helpful. Might be a good idea to let people know they are not eligible for funding if their work is not submitted by Dec 1 in your reminders.

6). Usefulness of email reminders throughout the PR process:
Comments:

- Reminders gave us plenty of time to complete the work
- Nice that reminders included who we should contact if we had questions
- Reminders should be sent to all F/T faculty and staff as well so I don’t have to remind others.

7). Usefulness of feedback from mentors / and the feedback rubric:
Comments:

- Scoring system was not clear
- The 3 and the 1 were confusing I thought it meant I met only 1 of the criteria or I met 3 of the criteria.
- Confusing that mentor’s comments are in the 5th column, but comments in 4th column are stated for everyone, I found that confusing.