**Evergreen Valley College**

**Program Review Feedback Form**

**Automotive Technology**

**Date:** April 20, 2010

**Reviewed by:** IEC on 4\_19\_10

**SUMMARY OF THE DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM**

**Did the Review Team clearly articulate summary?** Yes **If not, provide concrete feedback on information needed.**

**Did the Review Team clearly identify and assess strength and areas of improvements in relevant areas?**      **Were there action plans included that would address areas of improvement?**       **If not, provide concrete feedback on information needed in specific section.**

**PART A: Overview of Program**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** Yes      **If not, identify additional information needed.** Need to fill in %’s in # 3 – Potter

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)? yes**     **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed.**

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements? If not, identify areas and information needed.**

Under Student demographics, are the headings for each table correct (i.e. the heading says: Auto demographics fa 06/07, but table states sp 08/09)?-Apen

The charts that describe the demographics show for the years Fall 2006 and Fall 2007, yet the column lists Spring 08 & Spring 09 – Mata

                                                                                     8. productivity: can you include the WSCH/FTEF? (Apen)

9. Is there an action plan to address the achievement gap of the African American students? Is there any collaboration with Affirm? – Apen

**PART B: CURRICULUM**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly? yes**     **If not, identify additional information needed.**

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?** Yes – Potter  **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed.**

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?**       **If not, identify areas and information needed.** Limited information on needs for improvement not sure if they do not think they need improvement or if it was just not addressed. – Potter

**PART C: STUDENT OUTCOMES**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** Yes – Apen, No - Mata , Yes, they listed every SLO for every course – Narveson. **If not, identify additional information needed.** Assessment mechanisms not described – Mata.

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?**      **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed.** It is great that the auto courses have SLOs. You may want to consider putting all of these in an appendix, or linking them electronically in the

document. - Apen

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?**       **If not, identify areas and information needed**.

**PART D: FACULTY AND STAFF**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** Yes –Apen, No – Mata **If not, identify additional information needed.** Are there adjuncy in the program? Any info on them? Questions #3 & #4 no answered – Mata

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?**  Yes – Potter      **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed.**

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?** No – Narveson, Yes - Potter **If not, identify areas and information needed**. No need - Narevson

I would like more specifics on the continuing education exactly what does regularly attending mean? - Potter                                                                                                               

**PART E: FACILITIES, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND MAINTENANCE**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** yes**If not, identify additional information needed.**

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?** No - Mata **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed**. No detail given on current equipment – Mata

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?** No – Mata  **If not, identify areas and information needed.** Doesn't provide a wish list of equipment needed , nor addresses how they can seek additional funding for space and equipment. Are there other funding sources other than VETEA? - Mata

I would include the actual number of faculty needed to keep the program running - Potter

**PART F: FUTURE NEEDS**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?**yes – Apen, Not really - Mata **If not, identify additional information needed.**

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?** No – Mata **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed.**

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?**       **If not, identify areas and information needed.** No details are provided in terms of the number of current staff, nor future needed staff – Mata

Be more specific on equipment needs – Potter

**PART G: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**Provide concrete questions or feedback if needed**.

Very well put together, although some areas seemed rushed, specifically areas E and F. – Mata

Looks fine. – Narveson

4/19/10 IEC comments:

- Each module/certificate should have SLO & assessment (i.e. option 1, 2, etc..)

- Complete all data

- Include Brad’s bio

- No mission statement