**Surveying & Geomatics**

**Evergreen Valley College**

**Program Review Feedback Form**

**Date:** April 20, 2010

**Reviewed by:** IEC on 4\_19\_10

**SUMMARY OF THE DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM**

**Did the Review Team clearly articulate summary?** Yes **If not, provide concrete feedback on information needed.** Very well articulated – Mata

**Did the Review Team clearly identify and assess strength and areas of improvements in relevant areas?** Yes – Narveson **Were there action plans included that would address areas of improvement?** Yes – Narveson  **If not, provide concrete feedback on information needed in specific section.**

Excellent summary of where the program has been and where it is today. - Apen

**PART A: Overview of Program**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** See below – Apen, Yes – Mata **If not, identify additional information needed.**

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?** Yes – Mata **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed.**

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements? If not, identify areas and information needed.** Under New Initiatives, at the end, please verify that you intended to refer to Appendix A: Survey and Geomatics Vs. Appendix B: Engineering and surveying

Item #6: the charts are great, but you may want to discuss ways to impact change in demographics, such as attracting more females to the program. - Apen

Item #9: the data shown is based on completion rates not success rates, students completing with a “c” or better. The data that you included on pg. 59 showed that student success ranged from 63-75%. You may want to insert student success into that table as a comparison to the completion rate. You may also want to address you’re your impression is of the success rate and how you may work to improve it. – Apen

Action plans in the form of initiatives and goals were included. Since the program is new, areas of improvement are not needed until the next program review. – Mata

**PART B: CURRICULUM**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** yes **If not, identify additional information needed.**

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?** Yes – Narveson  **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed.**

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?** Yes – Narveson  **If not, identify areas and information needed.** Item #4: correct the spelling of group lea**r**ning. - Apen

Can this program be promoted to CCOC? – Mata

**PART C: STUDENT OUTCOMES**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** Yes **If not, identify additional information needed.**

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?** Yes – Narveson **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed.** Excellent: even includes assessment - Apen

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?** No – Mata  **If not, identify areas and information needed**. Not needed as of yet – Mata

**PART D: FACULTY AND STAFF**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** Yes **If not, identify additional information needed.**

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?** Yes – Narveson **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed.**

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?** No – Narveson, Yes – Mata  **If not, identify areas and information needed**. Item 4B: change learning objective to learning outcomes – Apen

None needed – Narveson

**PART E: FACILITIES, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND MAINTENANCE**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** Yes  **If not, identify additional information needed.**

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?** Yes – Narveson  **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed**.

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?** Yes – Narveson  **If not, identify areas and information needed.** Excellent – Apen

**PART F: FUTURE NEEDS**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** Yes **If not, identify additional information needed.**

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?** Yes – Narveson **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed.**

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?** No – Narveson**, Yes – Mata If not, identify areas and information needed.** No need, as no statement for improvement – Narveson                                                                                                                                                                                                         

**PART G: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**Provide concrete questions or feedback if needed**.       Excellent job market information and analysis. very thoughtful and professional program review. - Apen

The PR looks great. A model example - Narveson

Very impressive program review - Mata

4/19/10 IEC comments:

- Strong data-based

- Be ready for applying

- Need to look at “success rate” in addition to completion rate