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**SUMMARY OF THE DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM**

**Did the Review Team clearly articulate summary?** Yes**If not, provide concrete feedback on information needed.**

**Did the Review Team clearly identify and assess strength and areas of improvements in relevant areas?** Yes **Were there action plans included that would address areas of improvement?**       **If not, provide concrete feedback on information needed in specific section.**

     areas of improvement centered around request for additional faculty, lab tech, computer lab, lab equipment and faculty professional development. All of which are tied to funding sources/allocations

**PART A: Overview of Program**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** Yes     **If not, identify additional information needed.** Excellent overview and data…minimal recommendations.

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?** additional data needed **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed.** (pg12) recommendation to increase Chem 12A and B offering to every semester, based on student need. Please provide enrollment data to support this (i.e. enrollment over time indicating waitlist that would support the added courses or other indicators that this is the case.

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements? If not, identify areas and information needed.**

Yes: minimal feedback

Clarification: spelling in section 4. “unmet goal” – 2nd paragraph, 5th line: should this state “…are in par…”

Clarification: You mention hiring of adjunct faculty to serve as tutors. How about the use of student tutors or partnership with the tutoring center? This could serve as mentoring and tutoring.

**PART B: CURRICULUM**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** Yes**If not, identify additional information needed.** Excellent!!

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?**       **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed.**

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?**       **If not, identify areas and information needed.**      excellent work in this area.

Point of clarification: page 31: “teaching has impacted success in workplace…” How is this assessed (you mentioned alumni returning, can you survey these students and the employers?

**PART C: STUDENT OUTCOMES**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** yes **If not, identify additional information needed.**

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?**      **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed.** Excellent job!

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?**       **If not, identify areas and information needed**.

**PART D: FACULTY AND STAFF**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** yes **If not, identify additional information needed.**

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?**      **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed.**

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?**       **If not, identify areas and information needed**.  Excellent

**PART E: FACILITIES, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND MAINTENANCE**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** Yes**If not, identify additional information needed.**

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?**       **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed**.

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?**       **If not, identify areas and information needed.** There is significant equipment needs for these programs. If possible, it would be helpful to indicate estimate pricing for these items. This information is helpful in determining future funding allocations for this department. Since there are a lot of equipment that need replacing, prioritizing the equipment needs would be helpful too (i.e. what should be replaced first, ect…)

**PART F: FUTURE NEEDS**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?**yes **If not, identify additional information needed.**

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?**      **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed.**

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?**       **If not, identify areas and information needed.**

**PART G: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**Provide concrete questions or feedback if needed**.

      Overall, excellent program review…great work!