**Evergreen Valley College**

**Program Review Feedback Form**

**ENLACE PROGRAM**

**Date:** 4/30/11

**Reviewed by: Institutional Effectiveness Committee: (**Mata & Ruppenthal)

**SUMMARY OF THE DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM**

**Did the Review Team clearly articulate summary?** Yes (Mata & Ruppenthal) **If not, provide concrete feedback on information needed.** Excellent summary of the ENLACE program. A must-read for anyone that is not familiar with the program and its historical roots. (Mata)

**Did the Review Team clearly identify and assess strength and areas of improvements in relevant areas?** Yes (Mata & Ruppenthal **) Were there action plans included that would address areas of improvement?** Yes (Mata & Ruppenthal) **If not, provide concrete feedback on information needed in specific section.**

**PART A: Overview of Program**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** Yes (Mata & Ruppenthal) **If not, identify additional information needed.** While areas of improvement were not directly stated, the presence of the Enlace Program Goals and Five-Year Goals provide action plans for addressing student needs and internal/external factors mentioned. Analysis of Enlace’s program rates and patterns including transfer rates were clearly explained and addressed. Very good overview of the program with rich and meaningful evidence. (Mata)

The overview of this program was very well done and documented with data (Ruppenthal).

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?** Yes (Mata & Ruppenthal) **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed.**

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?** Yes (Mata & Ruppenthal) **If not, identify areas and information needed.**

**PART B: CURRICULUM**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** Yes (Mata & Ruppenthal) **If not, identify additional information needed.** This section is basically covered under “Overview of the Program.” (Mata)

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?** Yes (Mata & Ruppenthal) **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed.**

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?** Yes (Mata & Ruppenthal) **If not, identify areas and information needed.** While not directly stated, the information is present in the analysis of data and the goals set forth under “Overview of the Program”. (Mata)

ENLACE is a non-traditional academic program and therefore, the curriculum information was handled differently. The description of the program and its goals were handled quite adequately in the prior section. (Ruppenthal)

**PART C: STUDENT OUTCOMES**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** No (Mata & Ruppenthal) **If not, identify additional information needed.** SLOs for the ENLACE program are not provided. As described in the criteria, list all the ENLACE courses that have current SLOs. SLOs should also be identified at the program level. (Mata)

SLOs are not included and should be developed (Ruppenthal)

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?** Yes, the evidence is there. (Mata) Yes (Ruppenthal) **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed.** Once SLOs are set, assessment measures and instruments (surveys, etc.) should be geared towards measuring those SLOs. (Mata)

Need to include SLOs and any plans for assessing them (Ruppenthal)

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?** Yes (Mata & Ruppenthal) **If not, identify areas and information needed**.

**PART D: FACULTY AND STAFF**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** No (Mata & Ruppenthal) **If not, identify additional information needed.** This section needs to be completed. I recommend requiring a follow-up report next year (Ruppenthal)

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?** No (Mata & Ruppenthal) **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed.**

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?** No (Ruppenthal) **If not, identify areas and information needed**. Section not completed. (Mata)

**PART E: FACILITIES, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND MAINTENANCE**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** No, this information is not provided in the program review. (Mata), No (Ruppenthal) **If not, identify additional information needed.** This section needs to be completed in a follow-up report (Ruppenthal)

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?**       **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed**.

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?**       **If not, identify areas and information needed.**

**PART F: FUTURE NEEDS**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** No, this section of the program review was not provided. (Mata) No (Ruppenthal) **If not, identify additional information needed.** This section needs to be completed in a follow-up report (Ruppenthal)

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)? If not, identify additional information and analysis needed.**

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?** **If not, identify areas and information needed.**

**PART G: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**Provide concrete questions or feedback if needed**.

Excellent program review so far! (Mata)